Populations of Galaxies

- Building a Galaxy
- Stellar Luminosity Functions, Mass-Luminosity Relations
- Initial Mass Function
- Star Formation Rates
- Heavy Element Enrichment
- Evolution of a Population
- Spectral Synthesis
- Age/Metallicity Indicators
- Multiple Populations the Milky Way
- Starburst galaxies, Ultraluminous Infrared Galaxies (ULIRGS)
- Galaxy Evolution





Question: How would you build a synthetic spectrum and determine predicted colors for a population of stars?

- Start a population with an initial mass function (IMF).
 (determined from luminosity function and mass-lum. relation)
- Specify a star formation rate (SFR).
 - instantaneous? continuous? episodic?
- Add interaction with the ISM to increase metals.
- Fill in an H-R (or color-magnitude) diagram and let it evolve.
- Make a synthetic spectrum or synthetic colors .
 - Weight each spectral type by luminosity function.
 - Convolve spectrum with kinematics of the population.
- What if there is more than one population (like Milky Way)?
- Repeat the above steps for each population.
- Model populations separately if they can be resolved directly or through spectral or color gradients.

Stellar Luminosity Functions

- Φ (L) dL: # of stars with luminosities between L and L + dL per 1000 pc³
 - Need distances via parallax and secondary methods.
 - For example, use photometric distances (color gives luminosity, calibrated with parallax studies of close stars).
 - Done primarily for stars in solar neighborhood (detected via proper motion surveys) and clusters.
- Want a volume-limited sample (e.g., solar neighborhood), but must deal with magnitude limits (Malmquist bias).
 - Faint, low-mass end difficult to characterize.
- Φ for a specific class of stars is useful:
 - $-\Phi_{MS}$ is needed for determining the IMF
 - Even Φ_{MS} shows dispersion due to metallicity and evolution

General Φ



(Sparke & Gallagher, p. 63)

solid dots: Hipparcos sample open circles: photometric sample

• ~55 stars, $35L_{\odot}$ per 1000 pc³ in the hood

$\Phi_{\rm MS}$ in the Solar Neighborhood

Table 3.18	Luminosity function of MS stars									
M_V	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
S	B8.5	A1.5	A5.6	F1.9	F7.8	G4.3	K0.3	K3.7	K7.1	M0.3
$\Phi_{\rm gen}(M_V)$	1	3	5	12	17	29	30	29	33	42
$\Phi_{ m MS}$	0.23	0.74	1.9	9.6	19	24	24	33	17	20
+	0.07	0.12	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.9	1.7	4	6	12

(Binney & Merrifield, p. 129)

SOURCE: From data published in Murray et al. (1997)

- Later types dominated by MS stars
- Luminosity classes squeeze together at high L



(Binney & Merrifield, p. 104)

Mass-Luminosity Relation for M.S. Stars

- L(M) can be determined theoretically or observationally
- Theory: Combine stellar interior and atmosphere models:

 $\frac{L_{MS}}{L_{\odot}} = \begin{cases} 81(M/M_{\odot})^{2.14} & (M > 20M_{\odot}) \\ 1.78(M/M_{\odot})^{3.5} & (2M_{\odot} < M < 20M_{\odot}) \\ 0.75(M/M_{\odot})^{4.8} & (M < 2M_{\odot}) \end{cases}$

(Binney & Merrifield, p. 280)

A star evolves off the M.S. when it converts $\sim 10\%$ of its H to He:

$$\tau_{\rm MS} \approx 10 \left(\frac{\rm M}{\rm M_{\odot}}\right) \left(\frac{\rm L}{\rm L_{\odot}}\right)^{-1} \rm Gyr$$

→ No star with M $\leq 0.8 M_{\odot}$ has evolved off the M.S. ($\tau_{MS} \geq 13.8$ Gyr) Theory Drawbacks:

- 1) Low mass stars may not have settled onto M.S.
- 2) Strong effects of molecules and abundances at $M < 0.5 M_{\odot}$

Observational Mass-Luminosity Relation Determined from binary stars (visual and eclipsing)

-5 00 00 substellar stellar 0 Absolute V Magnitude 5 10 15 20 .1 10 Mass (Solar Masses)

•

squares: visual circles: eclipsing

(Binney & Merrifield, p. 81)

Problem: Large range in dL over short dM at $M < 0.2 M_{\odot}$

Initial Mass Function

• For a starburst, # new stars with masses between M and M+dM: $dN = N_0 \xi(M) dM$, where $\xi(M)$ is the IMF $\xi(M)$ is normalized so that $\int M\xi(M) dM = 1M_{\odot}$

$$N_0 = \frac{\int M dN}{\int M\xi(M) dM} = \int M dN = \# \text{ of solar masses in starburst}$$

- How do we get the IMF? \rightarrow from $\Phi_{MS}(L)$ and Mass-Luminosity
- Need to correct $\Phi_{MS}(L)$ to cumulative value $\Phi_0(L)$
 - Starburst: correct for stellar evolution (e.g., use young clusters)
 - Constant SFR: also correct for death of massive stars early on

$$\Phi_0(L) = \Phi(L) \times \begin{cases} t/\tau_{MS}(M) & \text{for } \tau_{MS}(M) < t \\ 1 & \text{for } \tau_{MS}(M) > t \end{cases}$$

• The IMF is then:

$$\xi(M) = \frac{dL}{dM} \Phi_0[L(M)]$$

IMF Results

- Typically characterized by a power-law:
 ξ(M) ∝ M^{-α} Salpeter (1955) → α = 2.35
 Total mass diverges at low mass if α > 2 and at high mass if α < 2
- More recent results Scalo (1986) IMF:

 $\xi(M) \propto \begin{cases} M^{-2.45} & (M > 10M_{\odot}) \\ M^{-3.27} & (1M_{\odot} < M < 10M_{\odot}) \\ M^{-1.83} & (0.2M_{\odot} < M < 1M_{\odot}) \end{cases}$

- IMF not well determined at $M < 0.3 M_{\odot}$, since dL/dM is large
- Cutoffs at M < 0.08M_☉ (no hydrogen fusion), M > 100M_☉ (star's radiation pressure exceeds gravity)

Scalo (1986) IMF



(Binney & Merrifield, p. 286)

Squares and fit: constant rate of star formation in solar neighborhood

Star Formation Rate (SFR)

- To determine the current SFR, count the number of hot blue stars and divide by their approx. lifetime (lower limit)
- More precisely for a cluster → use H-R diagram:
 # stars above M.S.turnoff (inferred) / cluster age
- How do we count the number of hot blue stars in galaxies?
 1) UV images (GALEX): count UV photons directly
 - Hα narrow-band images (ground-based): reprocessing of UV radiation by H II regions
 - 3) Dust re-radiation (IRAS): new stars enshrouded in dust
- Ideally, use a combination of the above (but note that optical and IR radiation can also heat dust grains)
- What is the SFR for the Milky Way averaged over its history?

$$\langle SFR \rangle \approx \frac{10^{11} \text{ stars}}{10^{10} \text{ years}} = 10 \text{ stars/year}$$

Birth Rate

- Birth rate = b = current SFR/<SFR>
- Can be characterized by EW (H α) ~ (# hot stars/ total # stars)
- Depends on IMF and functional form of SFR



Model predictions: Squares: Salpeter IMF Triangles: Scalo IMF Filled: SFR rises exponentially Open: Current Starburst + constant SFR

(Binney & Merrifield, p. 321)

Measured avg. b (Kennicutt et al. 1994, 435, 222): Sa Sab Sb Sbc Sc Scd/Sd Sm/Im <0.07 0.17 0.33 0.84 0.99 0.69 1.67

Heavy Metal Enrichment

- A population will be enriched with metals (elements heavier than He) over time.
- $Z = metallicity = mass of heavy elements/total mass (Z_{\odot} = 0.02)$
- X, Y = fractional masses of H, He ($X_{\odot} = 0.70, Y_{\odot} = 0.28$)

Spectral Synthesis

- Start with an IMF.
- Populate the H-R diagram with the proper number of stars.
- Let the population and metallicity evolve.
- Generate a synthetic spectrum (properly weighted by # stars and luminosity per star in each spectral/luminosity class).
 Ex) Bruzual & Charlot (1993, ApJ, 405, 538)
- Multiply spectrum by filter curves to get synthetic colors.

Build an H-R Diagram and Let it Evolve



Spectral Synthesis and Evolution



(Sparke & Gallagher, p. 268)

Age and Metallicity Diagnostics: Young Populations (≤1 Gyr)

- Age (t) from ratio of blue or UV flux to red flux
- Metallicity (Z) use emission lines from H II regions
 - Note: For specific elements, the "abundance" is normally given (number fraction, rather than mass fraction):

Solar Abundance of X: A(x) =
$$12.0 + \log \left(\frac{n_X}{n_H}\right)_{solar}$$

Ex) $A(He)_{\odot} = 12.0 + \log (0.1)_{solar} = 11 \rightarrow Y = 0.28$

Relative abundance of X: $[X/H] = \log \left(\frac{n_X}{n_H}\right)_{star} - \log \left(\frac{n_X}{n_H}\right)_{solar}$

Solar (or "cosmic") abundances:

Element	Не	С	N	0	Ne	Mg	Si	S	Fe
A(x)	11.0	8.6	8.0	8.8	7.6	7.5	7.5	7.2	7.4

Age and Metallicity Diagnostics: Older Populations (> 1 Gyr)

• Spectral features are sensitive to both t and Z



(Sparke and Gallagher, p. 267)

Color and M/L Evolution of a Population



(Binney & Merrifield, p. 318)

- Luminosity continues to decrease with time, since it is dominated by giant stars, and later-type stars move off the M.S. at a much lower rate.

Spectral Indices for Older Populations

Central	Side
bandpass	bandpasses

Table 3.8	Spectral	indices	defined	by	Faber	et al.	(1985)	
-----------	----------	---------	---------	----	-------	--------	--------	--

Index	Feature	C. Band (nm)	S. Bands (nm)
G	CH	428.325 - 431.700	426.825 - 428.325
a dan ya	les de la bab		432.075 - 433.575
Mg b	Mg b	516.200 - 519.325	514.450 - 516.200
Fea	Ee^0 Ca^0	$524\ 800 - 528\ 675$	519.325 - 520.700 523.550 - 524.925
101	ic, ca	021.000 020.010	528.800 - 531.925
Fe_2	$\mathrm{Fe}^{0}, \mathrm{Cr}^{0},$	531.475 - 535.350	530.725 - 531.725
	Ca^0 , Ti ⁺		535.600 - 536.475
Na	Na D	587.920 - 591.050	586.300 - 587.675
			592.450 - 594.925
$H\beta$	$H\beta$	484.950 - 487.700	482.950 - 484.825
<u>N. 1997</u>			487.825 - 489.200
CN	CN	414.400 - 417.775	408.200 - 411.825
			424.600 - 428.475
Mg_1	MgH	507.100 - 513.475	489.700 - 495.825
			530.300 - 536.675
Mg_2	MgH, Mgb	515.600 - 519.750	489.700 - 495.825
			530.300 - 536.675
TiO_1	TiO	593.900 - 599.525	581.900 - 585.025
			604.100 - 610.475
TiO_2	TiO	619.200 - 627.325	606.900 - 614.275
			637.500 - 641.625

NOTES: Indices in the first group have the units of equivalent widths – see Figure 3.3. Indices in the second group are measured in magnitudes.

(Binney & Merrifield, p. 99)

Metallicity and Age – Model Predictions



(Binney & Merrifield, p. 322)

- Mg₂ sensitive to both t and Z (mostly Z)

Metallicity/Age Indicators

Index	Dominant	Others	$(\partial \log t / \partial \log Z)_{index}$
G	CH	(0)	-1.0
Mg b	Mg	(C)	-1.7
Fe_1	Fe	C, (Mg), Ca	-2.3
Fe_2	Fe	(C), (Mg), Cr	-2.8
Na	Na	С	-2.1
${ m H}eta$	Η	(Mg), (Cr), C	-0.6
CN	CN	C, N, (O)	-2.0
Mg ₁	С	Mg	-1.8
Mg_2	Mg	С	-1.8
TiO_1	TiO	Ti, (Fe)	-1.5
TiO_2	TiO	V, Sc, Ti	-2.5

Table 5.5Sensitivities of spectral indices defined byFaber et al. (1985)

NOTES: Elements with brackets around them in the "others" column contribute negatively to the index. SOURCE: Worthey (1996)

(Binney & Merrifield, p. 322)

- decrease in $\delta \log(t)$ that would offset increase in $\delta \log(Z)$:
- all indicators are sensitive to both Z and t \rightarrow use a combination
- Fe₂ most sensitive to Z, H β most sensitive to age

Metallicities of Galaxies – Ellipticals

- Giant ellipticals tend to be redder than dwarf ellipticals.
 → must be due to metallicity, since both have only old populations
- The centers of giant ellipticals are redder.
 →metallicities decrease from 1 –2 Z_☉ (center) to a few times smaller (edge)
 confirmed with spectral indices:



(Sparke & Gallagher, p. 260)

Metallicities of Galaxies – Results Spiral Galaxies

• Abundances decrease with the galaxy's absolute magnitude



(Binney & Merrifield, p. 519)

-probably due to higher gas densities (initially) and more "processing" of gas in brighter spirals (tend to be early types) Abundance gradients in Spirals: [O/H] and [N/H] decrease with increasing distance from center (by factor of ~10)



(Sparke & Gallagher, p. 160)

Remember: disk colors get bluer with increasing distance (M 31),
 → partially explained by decreasing metallicity

 \rightarrow also, the fraction of stars that are hot and blue increases with distance (larger fraction of mass in gas form)

Multiple Populations: Ellipticals

- Most giant Ellipticals are likely ≥ 10 Gyrs old
- H β absorption increases outward \rightarrow younger at edges?
- There are a couple of claims that dwarf E's are a few Gyrs younger than giant E's (hard to check)
- A few have swallowed S's or Irr's and have younger populations as well → Centaurus A:



(Ground-based)



⁽HST)

Multiple Populations: Spirals (like Milky Way)



Milky Way Populations

(Allen's Astrophysical Quantities, p. 479)

0	Extreme Pop II	Intermediate Pop II	Bulge/Pop II	Pop I	Extreme Pop I
Characteristic	halo	thick disk	bulge	fold disk	young disk
objects	subdwarfs	globular	SMR stars	intermediate	young stars
and	globular	clusters	= "IR bulge"	age disk	spiral
properties	clusters	with [Fe/H] > -1	planetary	stars	structure
	with [Fe/H] < -1	RR Lyrae, c-type	nebulae		Cepheids
	RR Lyrae	LPV's, $P \sim 250^{d}$	= "optical		
	$\Delta S > 4$	RHB stars	bulge"		
	BHB stars		RR Lyrae		
			$\Delta S < 4$		
			tri-axial (?)		
$\langle V_{\rm rot} \rangle$	30	170	60	200	220
συ:σν:σψ	130:100:85	60:45:40	120:120:120	38:25:20	20:10:8
Z/Z_{\odot}	0.03	0.3	0.1-2	0.9	1
τ/τ_u	1.0-0.9	0.9-0.8	1.0-0.5 (?)	0.9-0.1	0.1-0.0
External Galaxies	dE	$Sa \rightarrow \leftarrow SC$	$0 \rightarrow gE$	← Sbc	d, Irr's \rightarrow
Scale length (p	c) 2700	3000	500	3000	3000
Scale height (p	c) 2000	1000	300	300	100

 $(\tau / \tau_u = age in units of Universe's age)$ $(\sigma_U, \sigma_V, \sigma_W - velocity dispersions toward the Galactic center, toward the direction of rotation, and perpendicular to the plane)$

Where are the Pop III stars? (a few halo stars have been found with $Z \sim 10^{-4} Z_{\odot}$)

Irregulars - LMC

- Both old (~10 Gyr) and young (< 50 Myr) globular clusters.
- Very little star formation between 4 and 10 Gyrs ago.
- Old GCs are in a thick disk, $Z \sim 0.01 Z_{\odot}$
- Young GCs are spread out and have $Z\sim 0.4~Z_{\odot}$



LMC

R136a cluster in ---30 Doradus nebula (3.5 Myr old, ~10⁷ L_{\odot})

Starburst Galaxies

- Starbursts are found in irregulars or the centers of spirals
- Spirals with central starbursts also known as "H II" galaxies
- Gas is brought into the centers of galaxies by:
 1) Funneling of gas inward by large-scale stellar bars
 2) Mergers of galaxies → highest star formation rates (SFRs)
- Since V(R) ~ R in the inner bulge, angular velocity is constant → no gas shear to disrupt star formation
- Gas revealed as "dust spirals" within ~1 kpc of nucleus

HST Direct Image





"Structure Map"

(NGC 6212, Pogge & Martini 2002, ApJ, 569, 624)

NGC 1808 – A Nearby Starburst Galaxy



(HST)

Fed by a bar



Blue – Hα emission Red/yellow – stellar continuum

Antennae Galaxies



~1000 bright star clusters - fed by a merger

Starburst Optical Spectrum



H II region spectrum + faint stellar absorption

Spectral Energy Distribution (SED)



Ultraluminous Infrared Galaxies (ULIRGs)

- "Extreme" starburst galaxies with very young stars, surrounded by hot dust
- Initially detected by IRAS (12, 25, 60, 100 µm survey)
- Infrared luminosities up to $\sim 10^{13} L_{\odot} \approx 100 L_{MW}!$
- Some (most?) have a hidden AGN (fueled by same process)

Arp 220 – nearest ULIRG (z = 0.018)





VLA 6cm: 0.1' x 0.1' -cores of two colliding spirals

HST NICMOS - 3 IR colors

Starburst Galaxy Characteristics

- High SFRs: $10 1000 \text{ M}_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$
- High FIR luminosities: $L_{FIR} (8 1000\mu) = 10^{10} 10^{13} L_{\odot}$
 - due to heating of dust (primarily from hot stars)
 - ULIRGs: $L_{FIR} > 10^{11} L_{\odot}$
- H II region-like spectra, high Balmer luminosities
- Strong radio continuum emission (from supernovae)
- Galactic superwinds (outflowing ionized gas)
- Almost always within 0.2 2 kpc of nucleus
- Star formation timescale (all gas is used up): 0.1 1.0 Gyr
- High inner gas densities: $10^2 10^5 M_{\odot} \text{ pc}^{-2}$
- Result in a "super star cluster" at nucleus (>10⁸ M_{\odot})

Galactic Superwinds



Galactic superwind in M82 (red – H α emission)

- outflowing ionized gas due to supernovae and hot-star winds

- also detected in X-rays, enriches IGM

For a starburst that uses 100% of its gas in a timescale τ_{gas} :

SFR = 100 M_☉ yr⁻¹
$$\left(\frac{M_{gas}}{10^{10} M_{\odot}}\right) \left(\frac{\tau_{gas}}{10^8 \text{ yrs}}\right)^{-1}$$

(The dynamical time scale for feeding the nucleus is $\sim 10^8$ yrs.) The maximum bolometric luminosity is:

 $L_{max} \approx 0.01 \text{ f M c}^2 = 0.01 \text{ f (SFR) c}^2$ where f ≈ 0.05 for a Salpeter IMF, (fraction of stellar mass processed in 10⁸ yr), 0.01 is the fusion efficiency

$$L_{\text{max}} \approx 7 \text{ x } 10^{11} \text{ L}_{\odot} \left(\frac{M_{\text{gas}}}{10^{10} \text{M}_{\odot}} \right) \left(\frac{\text{f}}{0.05} \right)$$

➤ To get L_{FIR} ≈ 10¹³ L_☉, you need M_{gas} ≈ 10¹¹ M_☉
 ➤ So a ULIRG processes mass comparable to the entire ISM of a galaxy within ~ 1 kpc at 100% efficiency over 10⁸ years!

Far-IR Luminosity vs. Mass (H₂)



Open – typical galaxies Filled – bright IR galaxies (ULIRGs)

solid line – typical SFR dashed – 100% efficiency

(Kennicutt, 1998, ARAA, 36, 213)

- ε is the SFR efficiency per 10⁸ yrs

- average $\varepsilon \approx 30\%$ for ULIRGs \rightarrow the gas is consumed in ~0.3 Gyrs

Dependence on Morphological Type

- Detection of nuclear H II emission increases with later type:
 - E (0%), SO (8%), Sa (22%), Sb (51%), Sc-Im (80%) (Ho et al. 1997, ApJ, 487, 579)
- However, the H II luminosities decrease with later type:
 H II nuclei in SO-Sbc galaxies are ~10x more luminous than
 - those in Sc galaxies
 - \rightarrow bars are "stronger" in early types, leading to higher fueling rates (when gas is actually available)
- Most ULRIGs are "peculiar" galaxies → mergers
 For L_{FIR} < 10¹⁰ L_☉, 20 – 30% of IR galaxies are interacting
 For L_{FIR} > 10¹² L_☉, 70 – 95% of IR galaxies are interacting
 (Sanders et al. 1988, ApJ, 325, 74)

More ULIRGs - Major Mergers



Lyman-Break Galaxies (LBGs)

- Starburst galaxies at z = 2.5 5 (~10% of the Hubble time)
- Identified by their far-UV colors around the Lyman continuum break (912 Å)
 - Prominent in the atmospheres of hot stars
- Allows the photometric detection of galaxies at high z (thousands of detections so far)
 - Note: This technique identifies UV-bright starbursts at high z, but not those hidden by dust (ULIRGs)

Spectra similar to low-z starburst galaxies.

Detection of Lyman-break galaxies

(Ellis 1998)

Galaxy Formation-HST Images

2-454.0 Z=2.008

3-118.1 Z=2.232

2-82.1 Z=2.267

2-239.0 Z=2.427

2-449.0 Z=2.008

2-903.0 Z=2.233

4-445.0 Z=2.268

2-591.2 Z=2.489

1-54.0 Z=2.929

2-525.0 Z=2.237

2-824.0 Z=2.419

4-639.1 Z=2.591

For each LBG: Left: WFPC2 BVI Right:NICMOS JH

Various morphologies:
(compact, diffuse, regular, irregular, fragmented)
→ Many similar to late-type spirals or mergers
→ May not be seeing entire galaxy, just regions of high SFR.

→Note: We are seeing UVbright starburts, but missing the ULIRGs at high z

(Giavalisco, 2002, ARAA, 40, 579)

Galaxy Evolution: the "Main Sequence" of Star Formation

SDSS:

Star formation rate essentially independent of low (D1) and high density (D4) environments
Stellar mass from M/L ratio as function of color

(Peng, et al. 2010, ApJ, 721, 193)

- SFR (from H α emission): nearly linear with stellar mass.
- Specific SFR: $sSFR = SFR/M \sim M^{-0.1}$.
- SFR regulated by inflow and stellar feedback? (stellar winds, SNR's)
- When SF quenched, galaxy moves off the correlation (ULIRGs lie above).

Color-Magnitude Diagram of 60,000 galaxies from SDSS

(Baldry et al., 2004, ApJ, 600, 681

- Red sequence: primarily ellipticals; increasing (redder) color with luminosity reflects metallicity trend
- Blue cloud: primarily spirals, irregulars; increasing color with luminosity reflects increasing prominence of bulge

C-M Diagram – Early Schematic

• Early interpretation: galaxies move from blue to red, possibly through mergers (colliding disks form ellipticals).

C-M Diagrams by Morphology

Schawinski et al., 2014, MNRAS, 440, 889.

- Blue ellipticals (5%) and red spirals (7%) exist. 10% to 20% of E's and S's are in green valley.
- Possibility: SF in spirals quenches gradually, possibly through growth of hot halo. Quenching in ellipticals is result of major mergers that use up most of the gas in huge starburst (assisted by AGN feedback?)

AGN/Star Formation Connection?

(Salim et al. 2007, ApJS, 173, 268)

- Most AGN lie in the "green valley"
- AGN activity comes toward the end of intense SF phase? (last stop for fueling?)